

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Page 14, lines 19-22, modify phrase;

5
10 “Figures ~~2a, 3a, 5a and 6a~~ 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 are provided to represent both the non-electrical conduction means embodiment and the electrical conduction means embodiment that includes an electrical conduction means extending from the proximal connector and engaged to the distal expansion member 31”.

Page 26, lines 26-29 modify the phrase;

15
20 “As seen in Figures 11, the flexible elongated elements 36 are coated with a therapeutic agent or medicament 40 resulting in a coated flexible elongated element 35 that is designed to either passively or electrically cause the therapeutic agent or medicament 40 to dispense or migrate into the vessel wall 17.

Page 26, line 31, insert the word “coated” before the word “flexible” and replace the number “36” with “35”;

25 ... a more detailed view of one of the coated flexible elongate elements ~~36~~ 35 of the expandable mesh 31 ...

Page 27, line 6, insert the word “coated” before the word “flexible” and replace the the number “36” with “35”.

30 ... a cross sectional view of one of the coated flexible elongate elements ~~36~~ 35 of the expandable mesh 31 ...

Page 27, line 15, insert a space between the words “by” and “iontophoresis.”

35 ... or by_iontophoresis or electroporation, or any ...

Page 27, line 17, insert the word “coated before the word “flexible” replace the number “36” with “35”.

5 ... a cross sectional view of one of the coated flexible elongate elements ~~36~~ 35 of the expandable mesh 31 ...

Page 27, line 24, insert the word “coated before the word “flexible” replace the number “36” with “35”.

10 ... a cross sectional side view of one of the coated flexible elongate elements ~~36~~ 35 of the expandable mesh 31 ...

The Examiner stated that the specification is objected to because of the following
15 informalities: Page 14, line 19 refers to Figures 2a, 3a, 5a and 6a, however the brief descriptions to these figures were deleted via Applicant’s preliminary amendment filed 04 October 2002. The Examiner has required appropriate correction.

20 **Response:**

The Applicant has amended the specification accordingly and now believes that the objection has been corrected.

25

IN THE DRAWINGS

30 The Examiner stated that the Applicant’s preliminary amendment filed 04 October 2002, deleted the brief description corresponding to Figures 2a, 3a, 5a and 6a, however those figure labels still exist in Drawing Sheet No. I. Appropriate correction is required.

35